

TELEVANGELIST SUMMARIES  
July 1986

**PAT ROBERTSON**

AIDS.....85  
Economy.....87-88, 89-90

**FEIN, BRUCE**

    Manion, Daniel.....88  
Homosexuality.....84-85  
Manion, Daniel.....88-89  
Textbook censorship.....85-86

**JERRY FALWELL**

Television.....86

**GARY RANDALL**

Education.....90-91

**PHYLLIS SCHLAFLY**

    Education.....87

TELEVANGELIST SUMMARIES  
July, 1986

700 CLUB 7-1-86

HOMOSEXUALITY:

Reverend Pat Robertson

"In its first major ruling on homosexuality, the United States Supreme Court has refused to strike down a Georgia law that bans sodomy. The five-to-four ruling was a major set back to homosexual groups and is one of the high court's major decisions upholding traditional family values."

"This is a landmark case, ladies and gentlemen. And there have been at least two appeals court cases, circuit court cases, that, one upheld a similar law in Virginia, not quite as strict as Georgia's, several years ago, and more recently Judge Bork of the District of Columbia circuit ruled against the seaman who was suing because he had been dismissed from the navy for practicing homosexuality."

"There was such a thing as a Constitutional right to privacy. That's something that, as far as I can tell, Justice Douglas made up in the Griswald v. Connecticut, which was the contraceptive case."

"The so-called penumbras -- penumbras, ladies and gentlemen, is an almost shadow, pena is almost in Latin, umbra is shadow, its an almost shadow, it is a term used in astronomy to talk about what happens in a solar eclipse. There is nothing in the Constitution that talks about a penumbra."

"So in other words there is no Constitutional right to privacy. They made it up and then they found a Constitutional right to abortion based on the Constitutional right to privacy."

"So what they have done is say, I know Bork said, that regardless of the so-called Griswald case, he couldn't overrule it but he said that whatever right to privacy exists does not exist in terms of homosexual acts."

"Its interesting that this decision was handed down the day after the so-called "Gay Pride" marches throughout the country. Its and interesting thing, we've got a real dichotomy in our states now, with this ruling. We've got a number of states, Virginia is one of them, which makes homosexual conduct a felony, I mean you can go to jail as a felon for that, and yet in New York homosexuals are given preferred treatment and the same privileges as minorities such as blacks, hispanics and others. Now, that is a strange dichotomy."

"You go saying that instead of rolling back sodomy laws which has been one of the gay and lesbian platforms. You will say that chances are now we will have a lot more of them enacted around  
VOLUME 6, Issue 7, 1July, 1986

the country."

"Well, ladies and gentlemen, this was a very interesting landmark and of course, as one who regularly beats up on the Supreme Court, I have to hail them on this particular decision and it seems that there is coming a more conservative lilt with Judge Scalia in there in the future, and Judge Rehnquist as Chief Justice. We will see some very sharply honed more conservative opinions than we have in the past and that will be good for the United States."

700 CLUB 7-7-86

#### AIDS

Reverend Pat Robertson:

"The thing speaks for itself. I don't know that we need a great deal of discussion about it but we ask ourselves since when does a virus have civil rights...we must deal with this thing as any other plague that is in our midst. Now, the problem with this particular virus to the best of our knowledge it's self-induced. It is given by promiscuous sex, primarily homosexual sex. In some instances, now in Africa, heterosexual sex. And in certain similar instances, by intravenous drug users who are taking various types of narcotics. There are a few rare instances of AIDS being transmitted by blood transfusions but that indeed is rare and so the majority of people could stop the spread of AIDS if they stopped promiscuous sex and somehow we seem to recoil from asking for Biblical standards because we live in such an age of supposed freedom and liberty. But one day when we're looking at sixty to one hundred and twenty million cases when this dread disease is wasting populations in Africa and soon in the United States and in other parts of the world, this country and others are going to have to take steps as we would with any other plague be in the bubonic plague, or be it whooping cough or the influenza epidemic back after or during the World War II time in 1917. The country has to take steps to protect the innocent in its midst. Every government owes its citizens safety. That is the first and permanent role of any government is to protect its citizens in their lives and their possessions. And their lives are now in danger as a result of this rapidly spreading plague that's been brought to us apparently from Africa but has now taken quite a foothold on American soil."

700 CLUB 7-27-86

#### CENSORSHIP

Today's show featured a magazine style segment on Church Hill, including thirty second comments from Christy Macy and David Crane. Danuta Soderman interviewed Rebecca Hegelin of CWA.

MS. HEGELIN said: "The people who are in opposition to these parents are trying to degrade Christianity...If the parents lose in this particular case, then the religious liberty of all

VOLUME 6, Issue 7 1July, 1986

Christians in our public schools is in serious jeopardy and also a message will be sent to parents across the nation, if we lose this case, that parents have no right to say what the children are taught in public schools."

OLD TIME GOSPEL HOUR 7-26-86

#### CENSORSHIP

Reverend Jerry Falwell:

I believe that God is indicting the Hollywood movie industry and the television industry today for disregarding the horrible damage and injury that is being done to the boys and girls of our society through gratuitous sex and violence on the screen.

And this fall CLEAR [Christian Leaders for Responsible Television] is taking a very sophisticated poll and doing a very sophisticated survey of all three networks to determine who the advertisers are who are supporting the worst television and then they will be recommending selective buying -- supporting those products and companies that do not support bad television and -- they don't like to use the word "boycott," but boycotting those companies and products that do in fact underwrite bad television. Nothing wrong with that.

When you consider what Hitler did and consider what the television industry is doing today -- destroying a society and its moral values, there's very little difference.

GARY RANDALL 7-10-86

Guest PHYLLIS SCHLAFLY

Education

"Global education is another whole apparatus and ideology that is coming in very rapidly into the public schools today. I can tell you that it does not mean learning about the history, geology of other countries, it doesn't mean learning any of those things. Global education is an ideology which teaches children that patriotism is bad, that the United States is not better than any other country, either in form of government or in economy or any other way. Now, those things are false. When you travel around to the rest of the world, you will see that the United States is so superior to every other country that you can't even name what's in second place. And I mean superior in terms of political and economic and religious freedom. I mean the freedoms we enjoy in this country are so superior to every other country, there's no comparison and the economic prosperity is the result of that freedom...global education also teaches children that we want some type of world government entity to control the resources of the world. Well, it's the United States' hard work that has developed most of these resources and they want a mechanism to get us to give it up so it can be run by all these two-bit Third World and pro-Communist countries who hate America. There is also a large element of "deceive the parents" about it. They want to conceal it and, as they say, infuse it into the different curricula, so that parents don't realize what's happening."

700 CLUB 7-1-86

ROBERTSON

Economy

"Ladies and gentlemen, the economy of the United States right now very closely resembles the economy of the United States in about 1926. At that time, the farm economy was beginning to show severe problems. The price of energy as a matter of fact was going down. There were other dislocations in the economy. But while that was happening, there was an incredible boom beginning to build up in the stock market. And we're seeing the same thing here. It's the end of a cycle and at the end of a cycle overproduction and antiquated capacity begins to show up and the secular, as they say, the secular decline in commodities worldwide has been felt very severely and instead of having an inflationary economy, we are now going into a deflationary economy which will lead ultimately to deflation. In terms of wages, for example, they're virtually level. There is not any wage increase in the United States any more, they're level. Now this isn't bad; inflation is bad and it's a dislocation. But it's the same thing as what happens when you, if you happen to drink, I don't, you're getting over a hangover. The party may have been fun the night before, but the headache you feel the next day is very painful. This is the headache time, those who have enormous debts are going to be in a serious problem. And we're working on what's called the greater fool theory. The greater fool is the man who buys it last and then gets caught when the crash comes. And so we're rolling over paper, there are enormous fortunes

VOLUME 6, Issue 7, 1July, 1986

reporter, was followed by Pat's PERSPECTIVE on Daniel Marion. Pat interviewed Bruce Fein of American Constitutional Institute. Highlights:

ROBERTSON: "The Senate has given a tentative OK to the nomination of Daniel Marion for a seat on the Federal bench."

FEIN: "Daniel Marion is qualified. He received a qualified rating from the American Bar Association. And he certainly falls within the range of competence that has typically justified Senate confirmation in the past. Daniel Marion is not an intellectual giant, but that is not a requisite for appointment to the federal judiciary. I think that there is politics involved in the opposition to Daniel Marion. It might be noted, for instance, that Senator Kennedy, when he was in the Senate in the 1960's, had supported a very lack luster candidate named Mr. Frank Morrissey, who was nominated by Lyndon Baines Johnson, because Morrissey was a friend of Ted's father, Joseph Kennedy."

ROBERTSON: "Senator Metzenbaum of Ohio was excoriating Daniel Marion. And the reason for doing so was because he joined in with a bi-partisan group of Senators in Indiana to get a bill passed to post the Ten Commandments in public schools, and Metzenbaum was acting as if somehow one Supreme Court decision dealing with Kentucky was binding on the sovereign legislature of Indiana. Is that the case or not?"

FEIN: "No, I think that comment represents the profoundly misconceived view of the Supreme Court, not only today but in our history. The Supreme Court decrees certainly are binding in individual cases on all litigants but it has never been the case that all the doctrines are necessarily binding on others who are not parties. The Supreme Court has overruled some 200 precedents, one to the most famous instances where a very exalted public official, President Abraham Lincoln, defied a Supreme Court concerning the treatment of blacks in the United States. In 1857, the Supreme Court ruled Congress could not outlaw slavery in the territories. In 1862, nonetheless, President Lincoln signed a bill outlawing slavery in the territories."

ROBERTSON: "Why does the press and why do many members of Congress -- among them Joe Biden, who is on the same general tangent -- why don't they understand the history of this country, with which -- you said it's very clear that it's indeed the history since the founding of our nation?"

ROBERTSON continued: Ladies and gentlemen, it's amazing in our society that we, and the legislators, the Senate, the House of Representatives and other executives around this nation and the citizens as well as many members of the press assume that any particular ruling of the Supreme Court becomes the supreme law of

the land. That isn't true of the history of the Constitution of the United States. A court ruling only binds the litigants; as Mr. Cain said, very eloquently just earlier, and that's all. And any legislature is free to do what it pleases in terms of crafting some other legislation that seems to be a little bit at variance with the particular ruling, or what it wants to. Congress is the same way and the President is the same. The executive power is free, if you will, from the judicial and the legislature as well. That's what the framers of our Constitutions intended to have a separation of powers, independent of one another. And not to make one branch preeminent over all the others. But in the common parlance, it's said the Supreme Court quote "struck down" a law. It didn't strike down a law, what it did was fail to apply it in a particular case and therefore say that they felt it was Constitutional. It could be applied again or it could be brought back for review as was done by Justice Brand in the Alabama prayer decision. Justice Brand said, "I disagreed with the Supreme Court prayer decisions. They are un-Constitutionally -- they are Constitutionally incorrect and therefore the whole thing should be changed." That's what the dissents are on the Court. We've just had a recent dissent in *Roe v. Wade*. And so this is subject to constant change. But the legislature is the one that should make the law, not the Courts.

720 CLUB 7-1-86

Ladies and gentlemen, the economy of the United States right now very closely resembles the economy of the United States in about 1929. At that time, the farm economy was beginning to show severe problems. The price of energy as a matter of fact was going down. There were other dislocations in the economy. But while that was happening, there was an incredible boom beginning to build up in the stock market. And we're seeing the same thing here. It's the end of a cycle and at the end of a cycle overproduction and antiquated capacity begins to show up and the secular, as they say, the secular decline in commodities worldwide has been felt very severely and instead of having an inflationary economy, we are now going into a deflationary economy which will lead ultimately to deflation. In terms of wages, for example, they're virtually level. There is not any wage increase in the United States any more, they're level. Now this isn't bad; inflation is bad and it's a dislocation. But it's the same thing as what happens when you, if you happen to drink, I don't, you're getting over a hangover. The party may have been fun the night before, but the headache you feel the next day is very painful. This is the headache time, those who have enormous debts are going to be in a serious problem. And we're working on what's called the greater fool theory. The greater fool is the man who buys it last and then gets caught when the crash comes. And so we're rolling over paper, there are enormous fortunes

being made on Wall Street. Now, what does that mean for you? Well, it means that the stock market most likely is going to have a historic rise. They're probably hitting 3500 on the Dow. Well, the Federal Reserve should bring the discount rate, they're talking about 6%, it ought to come to 4-1/2% because the so-called velocity of money is stopping. M1, the measure of the ready cash in checking accounts and in people's pockets has risen 11, 12, 13% but that doesn't mean anything because it's not turning over fast. Why? Because people can leave their cash now in a regular account without having it ravaged by inflation. So this means it's deflationary, and with a 4-1/2% discount rate, we would begin to see an incredible boom in bonds and with the bond prices going up we would also see an accompanying stock market rise. The stock market would then be about the only place that people could earn the returns they have been used to over the past 5 or 6 years. Now, what could happen in 1988 or 1990 could be that what is in the farm belt and what is in the oil patch and what is in the certain sun belt real estate would begin to work its way into Wall Street. And at that time, there would be a danger that people suddenly would begin to panic and that's why the government at this time and all the people should do everything they can to begin discouraging debt. And to move us into a more or less debt free environment instead of an environment which is overcharged with debt. The world wide debt is in excess of 15 trillion dollars now and down the road it could be very serious. Right now the advice to the average person would be there's probably some more money to be made in the stock market and there's probably some more money to be made in the bond market but the thing is you should be aware that a day of reckoning financially is coming and you should do everything you can to get yourself personally out of debt and to make sure that your own house is in order drug relatively good times so if the bad times come, you can have a buffer against what might happen in the next two or three years down the road.

GARY RANDALL 7-9-86

There's a large segment of our society today that takes the stance that these educational issues are of no concern to them. They either have no children, or their children are no longer in school or their children are safely in a private or parochial school somewhere and hey, this problem doesn't affect me. Let me tell you something: there are no outsiders to public education. Public education is so greatly big and so greatly over funded that it involves every one of us, because everyone of us are paying the bill for this excessively expensive public disgrace. Educational budgets are, I believe, greatly over-funded because politicians whom you and I elect are intimidated by the world's greatest lobbying organization. That's the National Education Association. There has never been a more powerful, a more thoroughly funded lobby in Washington D.C. than this the educational association. Public education in my mind, given the amount of years that we have ignored the problem that we have



failed to serve the Lord our God in the sense of addressing ourselves to the public issues...I'm not sure that the public school system can be salvaged. It may be destined to become nothing more than a tool of Satan that's my personal opinion...Educational budgets, as I said, are greatly over-funded because politicians are intimidated by this massive, massive lobby that we have in Washington D.C. I believe that it is a terrible waste of money...It's a proven fact that a private school can educate children for one third the cost of public education. Humanism has become and is a religion of the modern day. Now the humanists try to deny the fact that it's a religion because if they are to agree to the fact that it is a religion, then that's going to cut off massive state funding and federal funding that's flowing into the public school system. So humanism is denied as a religion by those who practice it yet it's very clear that that's what it is...What is humanism? Let me tell you what it is...the agenda of humanism to be carried out and proliferated through our public school system, through public education, is funded by people like you and I who must pay our taxes. You must, we do not have a choice, we must pay the bill on this gross misuse of public resources... The public school system has taken upon themselves to assume the role of God and they have done this because they embrace a religion of humanism so by embracing the religion of humanism, we simply are saying we don't need God, we will simply replace God with our own desires. The religious humanists have seized control of the teaching mechanisms that teach the teachers. In other words, the teachers colleges are the hot beds for this kind of thought. You go to Harvard, you go to some of the well-known, some of the elite schools of America and you will find hot beds of humanist activity. You will find some of the most provocative, some of the most devastating statements about removing God, removing Biblical values and principals from our society. Having statements in these kinds of schools have the greatest influence on the teachers so the humanists have seized those positions where they can teach the teachers...During the course of the the day, students are being subjected to one account after another of a presentation of humanism which denies the deity of God, which denies the values of family, which denies any kind of patriotism or nationalism, which denies everything that this country was built upon. I personally believe that we are coming to a point in history where there's going to be a showdown.